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Abstract
The impact of the number of subcultures on the stability of yam genotype maintained in in vitro genebank was investigated. Six accessions of yam (Ala, Bagri Kogan, Kokoro, Sossou, and Tankpanou), belonging to the complex Dioscorea cayenensis-rotundata were initiated on free-hormone MS medium and were micropropagated each four months during five subcultures. DNA was extracted both from mother plant and plantlets provided from the subcultures for each accession and was exhibited Random Amplified Polymorphic DNA (RAPD) analysis using four selected primers to detect somaclonal variation. No phenotypic variation was observed during the fifth subcultures. From the RAPD analysis of both mother plants and in vitro plantlets, no significant variation of DNA profiles was observed with the highest of the coefficient of similarity (85% to 100%) for all accessions, thus ensuring the genetic stability of the plants and regeneration of true to type plants for at least five subcultures.
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Introduction
In term of the conservation of the diversity of species, genebanks are very important because they offer important traits of interest for breeding in germplasm enhancement through variety development (Spooner et al., 2005). In traditional system, yam germplasm are maintained in field genebanks as living collections because genotypes are either highly sterile, produce heterogeneous seeds, or possess tubers which have poor storability (Zoundjihekpon, 1993). Field maintenance involves high costs and risks of genetic erosion due to pest/pathogen attacks and natural disasters (Houedjissin and Koudande, 2010). Girma et al. (2012) reported that in the field collection, many mixed individuals /mismatched of number of accessions of yam were found causing by Human errors such as mislabeling, loss and fade of label(s), material mix during regeneration process and other field gene bank management problems. Despite in-situ conservation is preferentially applied for genetic resources for several woody species and cultivated species, ex-situ conservation remain the challenge for maintenance of the non-orthodox and vegetative propagated species (Engelmann, 2010). Since, several studies reported that cells and organs in vitro culture techniques are successfully used for
conservation of yam germplasm (Engelmann, 1991; Ahanhanzo et al., 2003; Mandal and Sonali, 2007; Agbidinoukoun et al., 2013). Those techniques offer more advantages such as rapid multiplication of explants, require lower area, free diseases plantlets production.

In Benin, propagation of yam through \textit{in vitro} techniques has been reported by recent researches using different explants sources as well as regeneration pathways (Ahanhanzo et al., 2010 and 2012). This propagation is based on vegetative cell totipotency and gets through subcultures which frequency is four times per year. However, available literatures reported that high number of subculture may lead to somaclonal or epigenetic variations in the micropropagated plantlets (Lakshmanan et al., 2007; Peyvandi et al., 2009). Now, in conservation term, the fundamental principle is to guarantee genotype stability. Therefore, it is necessary to establish genetic uniformity of micropropagated plantlets. Somaclonal variation is defined as genetic and phenotypic variation among clonally propagated plants of a single donor clone (Larkin, 1987). According to recent reports, somaclonal variations were detected among micropropagated plantlets in many taxa at levels such as phenotypic and cytological (Podwyszyńska, 2005; Zayova et al., 2010), biochemical and molecular (Soundararajan and Karrunakaran, 2010; Kanungo et al., 2012; Senapati et al., 2012; Saha et al., 2012).

Molecular markers are widely used to detect somaclonal variation at the DNA level of the available techniques such as isozyme, AFLP, RFLP, RAPD and ISSR. Moreover, RAPD (Random Amplified Polymorphic DNA) markers have been shown to be useful in assessing intra-specific or inter-specific genetic variability in many crop plant species because; large number of samples can be quickly and economically analyzed by using only micro-quantities materiel. In addition, the DNA amplicons are independent from ontogenic expression and many genomic regions can be sampled with a potentially unlimited number of markers changes in the RAPD pattern may result from the loss/gain of a primer annealing provoked by point mutations or by the insertion or deletion of sequences or transposition elements (Kaeppler et al., 2000). On top of that, RAPD markers have been already used for cultivar identification in a wide range of yams species (Dansi et al., 2000; Zannou et al., 2009). Up to now, the genetic stability of the \textit{in vitro} subcultures of yam accessions is not yet tested. The purpose of this work was to investigate the influence of number of subcultures on the genotype stability for yam accessions plantlets using RAPD markers.

\textbf{Materials and methods}

\textbf{Plant material}

The plant material used was represented by six \textit{Dioscorea} accessions (Ala, Baghi, Kogan, Kokoro, Tankpanou and Sossou.) belonging to the complex \textit{Dioscorea cayenensis-rotundata}, which were grown aseptically in the screen house.

\textbf{Initiation and subculturing}

Axillary buds for each accession were taken from screen house- planted germplasm six weeks after planting. The cuttings were sterilized with 0,1mg/l of mercuric chloride in sterile condition over laminar flow prior their culture in free-hormone MS medium (Murashige and Skoog, 1962). Four months after culture, the microcuttings were taken from plantlets for each accession and subcultured in the same medium. Five subcultures were made in the containers with five microcuttings. For each accession, ten containers were used. Fig. 1 shows the plantlets provided to the third subculture (F3).

\begin{figure}[h]
\centering
\includegraphics[width=\textwidth]{fig1.png}
\caption{Yam plantlets regenerated on free-hormone MS medium at the third subculture for five accessions tested (Kogan, Soussou, Ala, Tankpanou and Kokoro).}
\end{figure}
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DNA Extraction

Genomic DNA from young leaves of mother plants produced in screen house and their plantlets (Fig. 1) was extracted by Mixel Alkyl Triméthyl Ammonium Bromide (MATAB) procedure (Gawel and Jarret, 1991) previously using for yams by Agbangla et al. (2002). DNA extraction process successful was checked with electrophoresis on 0.8% agarose gel. Seven arbitrary decamer RAPD primers (Table 1) which have been used successfully on Musa and yam species (Lakshmanan et al., 2007; Zannou et al., 2009) were used for Polymerase Chain Reaction (PCR) for DNA amplification. DNA finger printing profiles were compared to evaluate clonal fidelity and genetic stability.

Table 1. List and sequence of the 10-base nucleotide primers used for the RAPD analysis.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Markers code</th>
<th>Primers sequences</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>OPA02</td>
<td>5’- TGCCGAGCTG- 3’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OPA08</td>
<td>5’- GTGACGTAGG- 3’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OPA18</td>
<td>5’- AGGTGACCGT- 3’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OPB04</td>
<td>5’- GGACTGGAGT- 3’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OPB05</td>
<td>5’- TGCGCCCTTC- 3’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OPB08</td>
<td>5’- GTCCACACGG- 3’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OPN-08</td>
<td>5’- ACCTCAGCTC- 3’</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PCR Amplification

Amplification was performed in 25 μL using PCR mixture of consisting of 2.5 μL 10X buffer, 1 μL dNTPs, 0.25 μL Taq polymerase, 3 μL DNA, 7.0 μL primer, 0.75 μL MgCl2 and 10.5 μL MiliQ water. The PCR reaction conditions were: preheating for 5 min at 95°C; 43 cycles of 1 min at 94°C for denaturation, 1 min at 55°C for hybridation and 1 min at 72°C for elongation which was completed by a final extension of 10 min at 72°C. After amplification, the PCR product was resolved by electrophoresis in 2.5% agarose gel and stained with ethidium bromide (0.5 μg/ml). The bands were visualized under UV light and photographed using the UV Transilluminator equipment (UVP, LLC Upland, CA). All the PCR reaction was repeated twice.

Data analysis

The software Microsoft Office Excel 2010 has been used for statistical processing of the data. For the growth parameters, five plantlets of each accession were used to calculate the means of number of leaves and roots and Student, Newman and Keuls’ test was used to classify these means using R software.

According to DNA analysis, the amplified DNA fragments detected in each accession were scored for presence (1) or absence (0). A data matrix was prepared for different analyses. A dendrogram was then constructed based on the similarity matrix data using the UPGMA (Unweighted Pair-Group Method using Arithmetic Averages) cluster analysis of NTSYS 2.21f (Rohlf, 2000). The similarity between mother plants and their plantlets was investigated by an Analysis of the coefficient of similarity of Jacquard (1908).

Results

Phenotypic observation of plantlets after five consecutive subcultures

For each accession the number of leaves has not varied statistically at p= 0.05 during the five subcultures tested (Table 2). The high number of leaves was produced by the accession Kogan (5.6) while the lowest was obtained by accessions Kokoro and Tankpanou (3.4). No significant variation was observed according to roots development through the five subcultures for each accession (Table 3). The accession Soussou produced more roots than others accessions (5.6) and the accession Kogan the lowest (2.4).

Table 2. Means number of leaves of accessions for the five subcultures tested.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Accessions</th>
<th>1st Subculture</th>
<th>2nd Subculture</th>
<th>3rd Subculture</th>
<th>4th Subculture</th>
<th>5th Subculture</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ala</td>
<td>4.8 a</td>
<td>4.6 a</td>
<td>4.6 a</td>
<td>4.8 a</td>
<td>4.6 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bagri</td>
<td>4.6 a</td>
<td>4.4 a</td>
<td>4.4 a</td>
<td>4.4 a</td>
<td>4.8 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kogan</td>
<td>5.4 a</td>
<td>5.6 a</td>
<td>5.4 a</td>
<td>5.2 a</td>
<td>5.6 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kokoro</td>
<td>3.6 a</td>
<td>4.0 a</td>
<td>3.4 a</td>
<td>3.8 a</td>
<td>3.6 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sossou</td>
<td>4.6 a</td>
<td>4.6 a</td>
<td>4.2 a</td>
<td>4.0 a</td>
<td>4.6 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tankpanou</td>
<td>3.6 a</td>
<td>3.6 a</td>
<td>3.8 a</td>
<td>3.6 a</td>
<td>3.4 a</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Means with the same letter are not significantly different.
Table 3. Means number of roots of accessions for the five subcultures tested.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Accessions</th>
<th>1st Subculture</th>
<th>2nd Subculture</th>
<th>3rd Subculture</th>
<th>4th Subculture</th>
<th>5th Subculture</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ala</td>
<td>4.0 a</td>
<td>3.4 a</td>
<td>3.6 a</td>
<td>3.4 a</td>
<td>3.2 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bagri</td>
<td>4.4 a</td>
<td>4.6 a</td>
<td>4.6 a</td>
<td>4.8 a</td>
<td>4.6 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kogan</td>
<td>2.6 a</td>
<td>2.8 a</td>
<td>2.6 a</td>
<td>2.4 a</td>
<td>2.6 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kokoro</td>
<td>3.6 a</td>
<td>3.6 a</td>
<td>3.4 a</td>
<td>3.4 a</td>
<td>3.6 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sossou</td>
<td>5.4 a</td>
<td>5.4 a</td>
<td>5.6 a</td>
<td>5.4 a</td>
<td>5.6 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tankpanou</td>
<td>4.6 a</td>
<td>4.6 a</td>
<td>4.6 a</td>
<td>4.8 a</td>
<td>4.6 a</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Means with the same letter are not significantly different

Shoots phenotype obtained from accession Ala through the five consecutive subcultures indicated that no difference was noted on the plantlets morphology (Fig. 2). The morphology of the plantlets providing to the others accessions has also presented no variation during the five subcultures tested.

Comparison of DNA from mother plants and their plantlets

The electrophoresis profile of DNA of mother plants and their plantlets generated by the marker OPN08 for each accession through the five subcultures were presented in Fig. 3. From the seven markers tested, four of them have been amplified (OPA02, OPA18, OPB05 and OPN08). The profile revealed the presence of different DNA bands which varied for the six accessions. However, we noted the presence one band of 300 pb from the majority of the accessions from the mother plants and their plantlets. However, this band was absent for almost of the accessions from the fourth subculture. We also noted the absence of 300pb amplicon in the plantlets belonging to the accessions Kokoro (subcultures 3 and 5), Tankpanou (subcultures 2, 3 and 4) and Sossou (subcultures 4 and 5).

The dendrogram presented on Fig. 4 indicated that for all markers tested, the accession Ala has generated two groups. The first group was constituted by the mother plant and the plantlets derived from the second subculture while the plantlets obtained with the third and fifth subcultures formed the second group. However, the coefficient of similarity of Jacquard (86%) indicated the highest similarity between mother plant and their plantlets.
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Third subculture formed the second group. The coefficient of similarity of Jacquard (100%) showed no difference between the genotype of the plantlets and their mother plant. The others accessions dendrograms (Baghi, Kokoro, and Soussou) were similar to those presented by the accession Kogan.

Discussion

Current studies have demonstrated that the plantlets regenerated through in vitro tissue culture were suggested to different types of stress which could involve phenotypic or genotypic variations frequently caused by callus regeneration, somatic embryogenesis and the number of subcultures. All of those variations were known as somaclonal variations (Larkin and Scowcroft, 1981). Various source should explain somaclonal variations such as the genotype, the type of explants, the culture duration, the number of subcultures (Peyvandi et al., 2009 and 2010), the media components particularly the hormonal doses and their combinations (Karp, 1994).

In this experiment, the medium was free hormone in order to against their influence on the plantlets genotypes and to be sure that when somaclonal variations will be noted, they should be leading to the number of subcultures. In fact, subculture is the main factor to be controlled because in term of conservation, the fundamental principle is to guarantee genotype stability. The present results revealed no phenotypic variation between plantlets of the six accessions tested suggesting that the five subcultures have no incidence on the phenotype of plants. The high presence of 300 pb band in both the plantlets and their donors (mother plants) in all tested accessions using RAPD markers could be an indicator for genotypic stability of plantlets along the five subcultures.

Despite the different groups showed by the dendrogram for each accession, the coefficient of similarity of Jacquard was up to 85% for all accessions tested indicating the highest similarity between plantlets and their mother plants respectively. Our result suggests that at the level of five subcultures spaced to four months each, the number of subcultures has not influenced the genotype of plants. This result supports Ahanhanzo et al. (2012) who reported that the in vitro tissue culture has never affected the genotype of plantlets at the first subculture for the same accessions. The presence of different groups should be explained by the epigenetic
phenomena which are not transmissible to the descendant or the subsequent corrections of the modified DNA sequence. In addition, Kaeppler et al. (2000) noted that the highly variable among regenerated plants and their progeny has frequently caused by the DNA methylation patterns. Although the RAPD markers are widely used for the somaclonal variations diagnostic (Govinden Soulange et al., 2007; Kanungo et al., 2012; Senapati et al., 2012; Saha et al., 2012), they have nowadays been contested because of their non-reproducibility.

In conclusion, the results of the present study demonstrate that most of the accessions of Dioscorea spp. maintained in free-hormone MS medium have no phenotypic variations. For the genotype stability assessment, RAPD markers revealed genotypic fidelity on mother plants and their progeny within at least five subcultures. In further, we suggest the used of others markers to validate the present result.
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